

# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE |                                  | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR    | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |  |
|-----------------------------|----------------------------------|-------------------------|---------------------|------------------|--|
| 10/065,093                  | 09/17/2002                       | Marc Schaepkens         | 124335              | 6153             |  |
| 6147                        | 7590 02/03/2004                  | EXAMINER                |                     |                  |  |
|                             | ELECTRIC COMPANY                 | LEURIG, SHARLENE L      |                     |                  |  |
|                             | ESEARCH CENTER<br>OCKET RM. 4A59 | ART UNIT                | PAPER NUMBER        |                  |  |
| ,                           | BLDG. K-1 ROSS                   | 2879                    | 2879                |                  |  |
| NISKAYUN                    | A, NY 12309                      | DATE MAILED: 02/03/2004 |                     |                  |  |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

|   |  |                                   | Application      | n No.  | Applicant(s) |  |  |  |  |
|---|--|-----------------------------------|------------------|--|--------------|--|--|--|--|
|   |  | 10/065,093                        | 3                | SCHAEPKENS ET AL.                                      |              |  |  |  |  |
|   | Office Action Summary  |                                   | Examin r         |  | Art Unit     |  |  |  |  |
|   |  |                                   | Sharlene L       |  | 2879         |  |  |  |  |
|   | Th MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cov r sh et with the correspondenc address Period for Reply   |                                   |                  |  |              |  |  |  |  |
| A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).  - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).  Status |  |                                   |                  |  |              |  |  |  |  |
| 1)[🛛  | 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 23 September 2002.  |                                   |                  |  |              |  |  |  |  |
| 2a) <u></u> ☐   | This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.   |                                   |                  |  |              |  |  |  |  |
| 3)  | 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. |                                   |                  |  |              |  |  |  |  |
| Disposition of Claims   |  |                                   |                  |  |              |  |  |  |  |
| <ul> <li>4)  Claim(s) 1-24 is/are pending in the application.</li> <li>4a) Of the above claim(s) 1-19 is/are withdrawn from consideration.</li> <li>5)  Claim(s) is/are allowed.</li> <li>6)  Claim(s) 20-24 is/are rejected.</li> <li>7)  Claim(s) is/are objected to.</li> <li>8)  Claim(s) 1-24 are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.</li> </ul>   |  |                                   |                  |  |              |  |  |  |  |
| Application Papers  |  |                                   |                  |  |              |  |  |  |  |
| 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.  10) The drawing(s) filed on 17 September 2002 is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.  Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.   |  |                                   |                  |  |              |  |  |  |  |
| Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120   |  |                                   |                  |  |              |  |  |  |  |
| 12)   |  |                                   |                  |  |              |  |  |  |  |
| 2) Noti   | nt(s) ce of References Cited (PTO-892) ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review rmation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449)   | (PTO-948)<br>Paper No(s) <u>0</u> | 9 <u>92302</u> . | 4) Interview Summary 5) Notice of Informal F 6) Other: |              |  |  |  |  |

Application/Control Number: 10/065,093 Page 2

Art Unit: 2879

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

#### Election/Restrictions

1. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:

- Claims 1-7, drawn to an article comprising a polymeric material, classified in class 428, subclass 917.
- II. Claims 8-19, drawn to a method of manufacturing an article having a pattern of raised features, classified in class 428, subclass 917.
- III. Claims 20-24, drawn to a light-emitting device, classified in class 313, subclass 498.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

- 2. Inventions I and II are related as process of making and product made. The inventions are distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) that the process as claimed can be used to make other and materially different product or (2) that the product as claimed can be made by another and materially different process (MPEP § 806.05(f)). In the instant case the article having the plurality of raised features can be manufactured by depositing or binding a plurality of objects made of the same polymeric material as the substrate film onto the substrate film.
- 3. Inventions II and III are unrelated. Inventions are unrelated if it can be shown that they are not disclosed as capable of use together and they have different modes of operation, different functions, or different effects (MPEP § 806.04, MPEP § 808.01). In the instant case the different inventions because the light-emitting device can be formed from a non-polymeric film and can be formed by a process that does not involve running

Application/Control Number: 10/065,093 Page 3

Art Unit: 2879

a polymeric film between two solid surfaces and exposing one of the surfaces to a negative image of a pattern.

- 4. Inventions I and III are related as combination and subcombination. Inventions in this relationship are distinct if it can be shown that (1) the combination as claimed does not require the particulars of the subcombination as claimed for patentability, and (2) that the subcombination has utility by itself or in other combinations (MPEP § 806.05(c)). In the instant case, the combination as claimed does not require the particulars of the subcombination as claimed because the raised features on the substrate of the light-emitting device do not have to be of the same material as the substrate. The subcombination has separate utility such as a button with raised lettering.
- 5. Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art as shown by their different classification, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.
- 6. Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art because of their recognized divergent subject matter, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.
- 7. Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and the search required for Group III is not required for Group II, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.
- 8. During a telephone conversation with Toan Vo on January 8, 2004 a provisional election was made without traverse to prosecute the invention of Group III, claims 20-

Application/Control Number: 10/065,093 Page 4

Art Unit: 2879

24. Affirmation of this election must be made by applicant in replying to this Office action. Claims 1-19 are withdrawn from further consideration by the examiner, 37 CFR 1.142(b), as being drawn to a non-elected invention.

9. Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
  The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 11. Claims 23 and 24 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.
- 12. Claim 23 recites the limitation "said electrically conducting layers" in lines 3 and
- 4. There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim.
- 13. Claim 24 recites the limitation "said organic electroluminescent material" in lines 3 an 4. There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim.

Application/Control Number: 10/065,093

Art Unit: 2879

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

14. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States
- 15. Claims 20-24 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Codama (6,091,078).

Regarding claim 20, Codama discloses a light-emitting device comprising a substrate (Figure 1, element 1) having a plurality of raised features on a surface, and a plurality of light-emitting elements, each of which is disposed on one of the raised features.

Regarding claim 21, the substrate comprises a substantially transparent polymeric material (column 13, lines 61-65).

Regarding claim 22, each of the light-emitting elements comprises a layer of organic electroluminescent material (Figure 1, element 5) sandwiched between two electrically conducting layers (3 and 6).

Regarding claim 23, the organic electroluminescent material is capable of emitting light having a first wavelength range when a voltage is applied across the electrically conducting layers (column 7, lines 1-9).

Regarding claim 24, the light-emitting elements have a layer of photoluminescent material that is capable of absorbing a portion of the light emitted by the organic

Application/Control Number: 10/065,093

Art Unit: 2879

electroluminescent material and emitting light having a different wavelength range

(column 14, lines 43-67).

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to Sharlene Leurig whose telephone number is (571) 272-

2455. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday, 8:30am-

5:00pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Nimesh Patel can be reached on (571) 272-2457. The fax phone number

for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 872-9306.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or

proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703)308-

0956.

Sharlene Leurig

81

ASHOK PATEL PRIMARY EXAMINED

Page 6